

## Chapter 5

# Basis Expansion and Regularization

### Overview

$$\text{RSS}(f, \lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - f(x_i))^2 + \lambda \int f''(x)^2 dx.$$

From the solution to [Problem 5.7](#) we know that the minimizer to the above objective function is cubic splines with  $x_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$  as the knots, meaning that we can rewrite  $f(x)$  as  $\sum_{j=1}^N N_j(x)\theta_j$  and have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RSS} &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left( y_i - \sum_{j=1}^N N_j(x_i)\theta_j \right)^2 + \lambda \int \left( \sum_{j=1}^N N_j''(x)\theta_j \right)^2 dx \\ &= \|y - N^T\theta\|^2 + \lambda \int \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^N N_j''(x)N_k''(x)\theta_j\theta_k dx \\ &= \|y - N^T\theta\|^2 + \lambda\theta^T\Omega_N\theta. \end{aligned}$$

The solution to the above problem is  $\hat{y} = S_\lambda y$  where

$$\begin{aligned} S_\lambda &= N(N^T N + \lambda\Omega_N)^{-1}N^T \\ &= (I + \lambda(N^T)^{-1}\Omega_N N^{-1})^{-1} = (I + \lambda K)^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{1 + \lambda d_k} u_k u_k^T \quad \left( \begin{array}{l} d_k \text{ and } u_k \text{ are eigenvalue-eigenvector of matrix } K; \\ d_k \text{ represents the amount of penalty for } u_k. \end{array} \right) \\ &= U^T(I + \lambda D)^{-1}U \quad (\Rightarrow S_\lambda S_\lambda \preceq S_\lambda \Rightarrow S_\lambda \text{ and } K \text{ have the same eigenvector.}) \end{aligned}$$

### Problem 5.2

(a) Since  $B_{i,1}(x) = \mathbf{1}(\tau_i \leq x < \tau_{i+1})$  the base case is verified. Let us assume that  $B_{i,m-1}(x) = 0$  for  $x \notin [\tau_i, \tau_{i+m-1}]$  for any  $i$ . From the recursive definition, we have

$$B_{i,m}(x) = \frac{x - \tau_i}{\tau_{i+m-1} - \tau_i} B_{i,m-1}(x) + \frac{\tau_{i+m} - x}{\tau_{i+m} - \tau_{i+1}} B_{i+1,m-1}(x),$$

which is zero if  $x \notin [\tau_i, \tau_{i+m-1}] \cup [\tau_{i+1}, \tau_{i+m}] = [\tau_i, \tau_{i+m}]$ , which completes the proof.

(b) The base case starts with  $m = 2$  in this case. Let us assume that  $B_{i,m-1}(x) > 0$  for  $x \in (\tau_i, \tau_{i+m-1})$  for any  $i$ . From the recursive definition, we have

$$B_{i,m}(x) = \frac{x - \tau_i}{\tau_{i+m-1} - \tau_i} B_{i,m-1}(x) + \frac{\tau_{i+m} - x}{\tau_{i+m} - \tau_{i+1}} B_{i+1,m-1}(x),$$

Since the coefficient of  $B_{i,m-1}(x)$  is strictly larger than 0 for  $x > \tau_i$  and the coefficient of  $B_{i+1,m-1}(x)$  is strictly larger than 0 for  $x < \tau_{i+m}$ , we know that  $B_{i,m}(x) > 0$  for  $x \in (\tau_i, \tau_{i+m-1}) \cup (\tau_{i+1}, \tau_{i+m}) = (\tau_i, \tau_{i+m})$ , which completes the proof.

(c) The base case holds for  $m = 1$ . Let us assume  $\sum_{i=1}^{K+M} B_{i,m-1}(x) = 1, \forall x \in [\xi_0, \xi_{K+1}]$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{K+M} B_{i,m}(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^{K+M} \left( \frac{x - \tau_i}{\tau_{i+m-1} - \tau_i} B_{i,m-1}(x) + \frac{\tau_{i+m} - x}{\tau_{i+m} - \tau_{i+1}} B_{i+1,m-1}(x) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^{K+M-1} \left( \frac{x - \tau_i}{\tau_{i+m-1} - \tau_i} + \frac{\tau_{i+m-1} - x}{\tau_{i+m-1} - \tau_i} \right) B_{i,m-1}(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^{K+M-1} B_{i,m-1}(x) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

(d) It is easy to see that  $B_{i,1}$  is a piecewise polynomial of order 1 (degree 0), with breaks at knots  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_K$ . Let us assume that  $B_{i,m-1}$  is a piecewise polynomial of order  $m-1$  (degree  $m-2$ ), then by the recursive definition of the basis function, it is easy to see that  $B_{i,m}$  is a piecewise polynomial of order  $m$  with breaks at the knots  $\xi_i, \dots, \xi_K$ .

(e) Let us define  $B_1(x) = \mathbf{1}(0 < x < 1)$ , and recursively define

$$B_m(x) = \frac{x}{m-1} B_{m-1}(x) + \frac{m-x}{m-1} B_{m-1}(x-1).$$

Then it suffices to show that  $B_m(x) = B_1(x) * B_{m-1}(x)$  for any  $m$ . Let us make the induction hypothesis that  $B_m(x) = B_1(x) * B_{m-1}(x) = \int_0^1 B_{m-1}(x-t) dt$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(x) * B_m(x) &= \int_t \frac{x-t}{m-1} B_{m-1}(x-t) B_1(t) + \frac{m-x+t}{m-1} B_{m-1}(x-t-1) B_1(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{x-t}{m-1} B_{m-1}(x-t) dt + \int_0^1 \frac{m-x+t}{m-1} B_{m-1}(x-t-1) dt \end{aligned}$$

to be finished [1]

## Problem 5.4

Starting from cubic spline equation:

$$f(X) = \sum_{j=0}^3 \beta_j X^j + \sum_{k=1}^K \theta_k (X - \xi_k)_+^3. \quad (5.1)$$

Since  $f''(X) = 0$  for  $X < \xi_1$ , it should be clear that  $\beta_2 = 0$  and  $\beta_3 = 0$ . For  $X > \xi_1$

$$\begin{aligned} f(X) &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \sum_{k=1}^K \theta_k (X - \xi_k)^3 \\ &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \sum_{k=1}^K \theta_k (X^3 - \xi_k^3 + 3\xi_k^2 X - 3\xi_k X^2). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f''(X) = 0$  for  $X > \xi_K$ , we know that  $\sum_{k=1}^K \theta_k = 0$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^K \xi_k \theta_k = 0$ .

Combining  $\sum_{k=1}^K \theta_k = 0$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^K \xi_k \theta_k = 0$ , we have  $\theta_K = -\sum_{k=1}^{K-1} \theta_k$  and  $\theta_{K-1} = -\sum_{k=1}^{K-2} \frac{\xi_k - \xi_K}{\xi_{K-1} - \xi_K} \theta_k$ , which, by plugging into Equation (5.1), completes the proof.

## Problem 5.6

The truncated power basis for cubic spline with two knots  $(\xi_1, \xi_2)$  are restated below (Equation (5.3) in book)

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(X) &= 1, h_3(X) = X^2, h_5(X) = (X - \xi_1)_+^3, \\ h_2(X) &= X, h_4(X) = X^3, h_6(X) = (X - \xi_2)_+^3. \end{aligned}$$

For periodic function, we know that  $X, X^2$  are not free to choose, as it depends on the point from the other end. Therefore we are left with the following basis

$$h_1(X) = 1, h_4(X) = X^3, h_5(X) = (X - \xi_1)_+^3, h_6(X) = (X - \xi_2)_+^3.$$

Furthermore, once the coefficient of  $h_1(X), h_4(X), h_5(X)$  are fixed, then there is only one possible choice for the coefficient of  $h_6(X)$ , in order to make ends meet. More precisely, we need to have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_4 h_4(\xi_{K+1}) + \alpha_5 h_5(\xi_{K+1}) + \alpha_6 h_6(\xi_{K+1}) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \alpha_4 \xi_{K+1}^3 + \alpha_5 (\xi_{K+1} - \xi_1)^3 + \alpha_6 (\xi_{K+1} - \xi_2)^3 &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \alpha_6 &= -\frac{\xi_{K+1}^3}{(\xi_{K+1} - \xi_2)^3} \alpha_4 - \frac{(\xi_{K+1} - \xi_1)^3}{(\xi_{K+1} - \xi_2)^3} \alpha_5, \end{aligned}$$

and thus we can modify the basis to

$$h_1(X) = 1, \tilde{h}_4(X) = X^3 - \frac{\xi_{K+1}^3}{(\xi_{K+1} - \xi_2)^3} (X - \xi_2)_+^3, \tilde{h}_5(X) = (X - \xi_1)_+^3 - \frac{(\xi_{K+1} - \xi_1)^3}{(\xi_{K+1} - \xi_2)^3} (X - \xi_2)_+^3.$$

Indeed it is easy to check that  $\tilde{h}_4(0) = \tilde{h}_4(\xi_{K+1})$  and  $\tilde{h}_5(0) = \tilde{h}_5(\xi_{K+1})$ . It is then straightforward to generalize this result and obtain a truncate power basis for periodic cubic spline with any number of knots  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_K$  with boundary of  $\xi_0$  and  $\xi_{K+1}$  as

$$\begin{aligned} h_k(X) &= (X - \xi_k)^3 - \frac{(\xi_{K+1} - \xi_k)^3}{(\xi_{K+1} - \xi_K)^3} (X - \xi_K)_+^3, \text{ for } k = 0, 2, \dots, K-1 \text{ and} \\ h_K(X) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

## Problem 5.7

(a) Using integration by parts, we obtain

$$\int_a^b g''(x)h''(x)dx = \int_a^b g''(x)dh'(x) = g''(x)h'(x)|_a^b - \int_a^b h'(x)g'''(x)dx.$$

Since  $g(x)$  is a natural spline, we know that  $g''(x)$  is a continuous piecewise linear function whose value is 0 on the edge, and  $g'''(x)$  is a discontinuous piecewise constant function. Therefore  $g''(a) = g''(b) = 0$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b g''(x)h''(x)dx &= - \int_a^b h'(x)g'''(x)dx = - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} g'''(x)dh(x) = - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} g'''(x_j^+) \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} dh(x) \\ &= - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} g'''(x_j^+)(h(x_{j+1}) - h(x_j)), \end{aligned}$$

which is 0 given that both  $g$  and  $\tilde{g}$  interpolate the  $N$  training data pairs.

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b \tilde{g}''(t)^2 dt &= \int_a^b (h''(t) + g''(t))^2 dt = \int_a^b (h''(t)^2 + g''(t)^2 + 2g''(t)h''(t)) dt \\ &= \int_a^b h''(t)^2 dt + \int_a^b g''(t)^2 dt \geq \int_a^b g''(t)^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$\min_f \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - f(x_i))^2 + \lambda \int_a^b f''(t)^2 dt \right].$$

Since natural spline with  $N$  knots at  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$  has  $N$  degrees of freedom, for any set of points  $(x_i, w_i), i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , we can find a unique function in the space spanned by the natural splines that interpolate those points. The results from (a) and (b) further say that any curve that pass through  $(x_i, w_i), i = 1, 2, \dots, N$  has a norm no smaller than the unique function in the space spanned by the natural splines that interpolate those points. Therefore, the minimizer must be a cubic spline with knots at each of the  $x_i$ .

## Problem 5.9

$$\begin{aligned} S_\lambda &= N(N^T N + \lambda \Omega_N)^{-1} N^T \\ &= ((N^T)^{-1} N^T N N^{-1} + \lambda (N^T)^{-1} \Omega_N N^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= (I + \lambda K)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $K = (N^T)^{-1} \Omega_N N^{-1}$ .

### Problem 5.12

Let us rewrite the weighted RSS in vector form:

$$\text{RSS}(f, \lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^N w_i (y_i - f(x_i))^2 + \lambda \int f''(t)^2 dt$$

$$(y - N\theta)^T W (y - N\theta) + \theta^T \Omega_N \theta.$$

By enforcing the derivative to be zero, we can obtain the minimizer of the above equation as

$$\theta = (N^T W N + \lambda \Omega)^{-1} N^T W y,$$

which leads to

$$\hat{y} = N(N^T W N + \lambda \Omega)^{-1} N^T W y$$

$$(W + \lambda K)^{-1} W y \tag{5.2}$$

$$(W + \lambda U D U^T)^{-1} W y,$$

where  $W$  is a diagonal matrix with the  $i^{\text{th}}$  entry being  $w_i$ .

### Problem 5.13

Let us first establish a connection between N-fold cross validation with the solution to [Problem 5.12](#). With weight matrix  $W = I$ , the solution to [Problem 5.12](#) reduces to the normal smoothing spline problem, for which we have

$$\hat{y} = (I + \lambda K)^{-1} y$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{y} + \lambda K \hat{y} = y. \tag{5.3}$$

Consider a weight matrix  $W$  where the diagonal is 1 except for the  $i^{\text{th}}$  term, denoted as  $W^{(-i)}$ , then this effectively results in a solution that leaves out the effect of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  observation from the data. Resume from Equation (5.2), we obtain

$$\hat{y}^{(-i)} = (W^{(-i)} + \lambda K)^{-1} W^{(-i)} y$$

$$\Rightarrow W^{(-i)} \hat{y}^{(-i)} + \lambda K \hat{y}^{(-i)} = y^{(-i)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{y}^{(-i)} - e_i \hat{y}_i^{(-i)} + \lambda K \hat{y}^{(-i)} = y^{(-i)}. \tag{5.4}$$

By subtracting Equation (5.4) from Equation (5.3), we finish the proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
e_i \hat{y}_i^{(-i)} + \hat{y} - \hat{y}^{(-i)} + \lambda K (\hat{y} - \hat{y}^{(-i)}) &= y - y^{(-i)} \\
e_i \hat{y}_i^{(-i)} + (I + \lambda K) (\hat{y} - \hat{y}^{(-i)}) &= e_i y_i \\
\hat{y} - \hat{y}^{(-i)} &= (I + \lambda K)^{-1} e_i (y_i - \hat{y}_i^{(-i)}) \\
\hat{y} - \hat{y}^{(-i)} &= S_{\lambda} e_i (y_i - \hat{y}_i^{(-i)}) \\
\hat{y}_i - \hat{y}_i^{(-i)} &= S_{ii} (y_i - \hat{y}_i^{(-i)}) \\
-(y_i - \hat{y}_i) + (y_i - \hat{y}_i^{(-i)}) &= S_{ii} (y_i - \hat{y}_i^{(-i)}) \\
y_i - \hat{y}_i^{(-i)} &= \frac{1}{1 - S_{ii}} (y_i - \hat{y}_i).
\end{aligned}$$

### Problem 5.14 - unfinished

$$f(x) = \beta_0 + \beta^T x + \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j h_j(x).$$

$$h_j(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|x - x_j\|^2 \log \|x - x_j\|^2.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial h_j(x)}{\partial x_1} &= \frac{1}{2} (\log \|x - x_j\|^2 + 1) \frac{\partial \|x - x_j\|^2}{\partial x_1} = (\log \|x - x_j\|^2 + 1) (x_1 - x_{j1}) \\
\frac{\partial^2 h_j(x)}{\partial x_1^2} &= \log \|x - x_j\|^2 + 1 + 2(x_1 - x_{j1})^2 \frac{1}{\|x - x_j\|^2} \\
\frac{\partial^2 h_j(x)}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} &= 2(x_1 - x_{j1})(x_2 - x_{j2}) \frac{1}{\|x - x_j\|^2} \\
\left( \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} \right)^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_j \alpha_k \left( \frac{2(x_1 - x_{j1})(x_2 - x_{j2})}{\|x - x_j\|^2} \right) \left( \frac{2(x_1 - x_{k1})(x_2 - x_{k2})}{\|x - x_k\|^2} \right) \\
\left( \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_1^2} \right)^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_j \alpha_k \left( \log \|x - x_j\|^2 + 1 + \frac{2(x_1 - x_{j1})^2}{\|x - x_j\|^2} \right) \left( \log \|x - x_k\|^2 + 1 + \frac{2(x_1 - x_{k1})^2}{\|x - x_k\|^2} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

### Problem 5.15

Mercer's theorem says the following:

- Any PD kernel  $K(\cdot, \cdot)$  can be expressed as an eigen-expansion of

$$K(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i \phi_i(x) \phi_i(y),$$

which can be viewed as an eigen-decomposition of the positive definite matrix.

- Define the space of functions  $\mathcal{H}_K$  generated by the linear span of  $\{K(\cdot, y), y \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ , then elements of  $\mathcal{H}_K$  have an expansion in terms of the eigen-functions  $\phi_i(\cdot)$ :

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i \phi_i(x), \text{ with}$$

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_K}^2 \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i^2 / \gamma_i < \infty.$$

Since  $\phi_i(\cdot), i = 1, 2, \dots$  are eigen-functions, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_K}^2 &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i \phi_i(\cdot), \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i \phi_i(\cdot) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_K} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_i c_j \langle \phi_i(\cdot), \phi_j(\cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_K} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i^2 \langle \phi_i(\cdot), \phi_i(\cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_K} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i^2 / \gamma_i \\ &\Rightarrow \langle \phi_i(\cdot), \phi_i(\cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_K} = 1 / \gamma_i. \end{aligned}$$

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle K(\cdot, x_i), f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_K} &= \left\langle \sum_j \gamma_j \phi_j(x_i) \phi_j(\cdot), \sum_l c_l \phi_l(\cdot) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_K} \\ &= \sum_j \gamma_j \phi_j(x_i) \sum_l c_l \langle \phi_j(\cdot), \phi_l(\cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_K} \\ &= \sum_j \gamma_j \phi_j(x_i) c_j 1 / \gamma_j = f(x_i) \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle K(\cdot, x_i), K(\cdot, x_j) \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_l \gamma_l \phi_l(x_i) \phi_l(\cdot), \sum_k \gamma_k \phi_k(x_j) \phi_k(\cdot) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_K} \\ &= \sum_l \sum_k \gamma_l \gamma_k \phi_l(x_i) \phi_k(x_j) \langle \phi_l(\cdot), \phi_k(\cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_K} \\ &= \sum_l \gamma_l \phi_l(x_i) \phi_l(x_j) = K(x_i, x_j) \end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$J(g) = \|g\|_{\mathcal{H}_K} = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i K(\cdot, x_i), \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i K(\cdot, x_i) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_K} = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_i \alpha_j K(x_i, x_j).$$

(d) Since  $\rho(x) \in \mathcal{H}_K$  is orthogonal in  $\mathcal{H}_K$  to each of  $K(x, x_i), i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , we have

$$\langle \rho(\cdot), K(\cdot, x_i) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_K} = 0 \Rightarrow \rho(x_i) = 0.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{i=1}^N L(y_i, \tilde{g}(x_i)) + \lambda J(\tilde{g}) \\
 = & \sum_{i=1}^N L(y_i, g(x_i) + \rho(x_i)) + \lambda J(g) + \lambda J(\rho) \\
 = & \sum_{i=1}^N L(y_i, g(x_i)) + \lambda J(g) + \lambda J(\rho) \\
 \geq & \sum_{i=1}^N L(y_i, g(x_i)) + \lambda J(g).
 \end{aligned}$$

### Problem 5.16

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{i=1}^N \left( y_i - \sum_{m=1}^M \beta_m h_m(x_i) \right)^2 + \lambda \sum_{m=1}^M \beta_m^2 \\
 = & \sum_{i=1}^N \left( y_i - h(x)^T \beta \right)^2 + \lambda \beta^T \beta \\
 = & \sum_{i=1}^N \left( y_i - \phi(x)^T D_{\gamma}^{\frac{1}{2}} V^T \beta \right)^2 + \lambda \beta^T \beta \\
 \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{change of coordination} \\ b = V^T \beta \end{array} \right) = & \sum_{i=1}^N \left( y_i - \phi(x)^T D_{\gamma}^{\frac{1}{2}} b \right)^2 + \lambda b^T b \\
 \left( c = D_{\gamma}^{\frac{1}{2}} b \right) = & \sum_{i=1}^N \left( y_i - \phi(x)^T c \right)^2 + \lambda c^T D_{\gamma}^{-1} c \\
 = & \sum_{i=1}^N \left( y_i - \sum_{j=1}^M c_j \phi_j(x_i) \right)^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^M \frac{c_j^2}{\gamma_j}.
 \end{aligned}$$

### Unfinished Problems

Problem 5.1

Problem 5.3

Problem 5.8

Problem 5.10

Problem 5.11

Problem 5.14

Problem 5.16

Problem 5.17

Problem 5.18

Problem 5.19